	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/559,097	SANZ MOLINERO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	VINOD KUMAR	1638
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>VINOD KUMAR</u> .	(3) <u>Meeta Mistry</u> .	
(2) <u>Barbara Johnson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 August 2008	Time: <u>14:00</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112, 1 st and 2 nd paragraphs, 35 USC 102(b), 35 USC 102(e) and 35 USC 103(a) rejections on record.		
Claims discussed: 22-33		
Prior art documents discussed: Prior art on record.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: A general discussion pertaining to the rejections on record was done. Applicant also discussed the teachings of the prior art on record. Applicant indicated that the inventors may provide additional data pertaining to the claimed invention.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Vinod Kumar/ Examiner, Art Unit 1638	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)